Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		3,014	YANNONI ET AL	
		ner	Art Unit	
	Marya	m Monshipouri	1656	
All Participants:	Statu	us of Application:	_	
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)	·		
(2) Mr. P.J. Kastenmeyer.	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: 15 November 2007	Time	e:		
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes	Applicant's rep	resentative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:	,			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 second and 112 first				
Claims discussed: 16, 62-77				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NA	TURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septimetity resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief s	on. The examinate record or	ner will provide a writt f the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applica	nt's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Application No. 10/523,014

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that SEQ ID NO:6 is novel and non-obvious and therefore a method of use of said sequence as claimed in claims 70-75 are allowable. However, claims such as base claim 16, 62 and their dependent claims cannot be allowed because they are directed to methods of use of products which have merely defined by function (as indicated in the perevious office action). In response, Mr. Kastenmeyer agreed with the examiner to cancel claims 16, 62-69, 76-77 as well as all withdrawn claims. He also gave authority to the examiner to amend and cancel claims accordingly, in an Ex. amendment.